

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>G06F 11/22</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/15009</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 24 April 1997 (24.04.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US96/16618 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 18 October 1996 (18.10.96) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/544,963 18 October 1995 (18.10.95) US <b>(71) Applicant:</b> SYSTEMSOFT CORPORATION [US/US]; Two Vision Drive, Natick, MA 01760 (US). <b>(72) Inventor:</b> BAJPAI, Chandra, K.; 180 Zabrosky Road, Stoughton, MA 02072 (US). <b>(74) Agent:</b> KELLY, Edward, J.; Testa, Hurwitz & Thibault, L.L.P., High Street Tower, 125 High Street, Boston, MA 02110 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DIGITAL DATA PROCESSOR DIAGNOSTICS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  A system for remote diagnostic of a digital data processor, e.g., a user's home or office computer, includes a local diagnostic element coupled with the digital data processor that determines the status of the computer and that diagnoses a first set of problems. When the problem cannot be identified locally, a remote communication element, e.g., a modem, permits the local diagnostic element to transmit the status information to a diagnostic element disposed at a remote site. The remote diagnostic element, which can be a computer residing at a manufacturer's, publisher's or reseller's technical support center, responds to the received status information for diagnosing a further or broader class of problems, e.g., using its own expert system software. It is capable of retransmitting status information to a technical support interface in the event that it (the remote diagnostic element) is unable to diagnose or correct the problem. The technical support interface conveys at least portions of the status information to a human operator, e.g., a staff member at the technical support center, for diagnosis of still further or broader classes of problems.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

## SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DIGITAL DATA PROCESSOR DIAGNOSTICS

### Background of the Invention

The invention pertains to digital data processing and, more particularly, to the diagnosis and correction of faults in digital data processing software and hardware. The invention has application in, among other things, rapidly and cost-effectively resolving problems (and apparent  
5 problems) experienced by personal computer hardware and software users.

Personal computer systems are notoriously difficult to set up and upgrade. Though a basic system may be assembled and easily run "out of the box," adding further hardware and software remains quite difficult. This often frustrates users, leading them to rely on the manufactures technical support to diagnose and solve even rudimentary problems. According to  
10 the market-research firm Dataquest, Inc., technical support calls cost on average \$20 - \$100, accounting for 3.5% of a personal computer costs; see, "PC Makers Cure Customer Ills with Virtual House Calls," Wall Street Journal, March 21, 1995. Dataquest found that the average length of the technical support calls and the number of those calls have increased 20% per year over the past two years. *Id.* To account for this volume, at least one large personal computer  
15 manufacturer has boosted its technical support staff to over 1800.

U.S. Patent No. 5,367,667 suggests one way to reduce the time and costs of technical support calls. On receiving a telephone call from a user, a technical support staff person creates a batch file for executing diagnostic tests already present on the user's computer. The technical support center accesses the user's computer remotely, by modem, and downloads that batch file.  
20 On execution, the selected test programs run and generate log files, which are subsequently transmitted back to the technical support center. The technical support staff person reviews the log files and suggests possible solutions to the user by phone, downloading new files as necessary by modem.

On another front, an industry trade journal reports that manufacturers and publishers are  
25 increasingly relying on remote control access software to aid in diagnosing and resolving user's computer problems; see, "Technical Support Hits the Road," PC Week, July 25, 1994. IBM Personal Computer Co., for example, is said to bundle Triton Technologies' CO-SESSION

-2-

software with its portable computers. This is said to enable the IBM technical support staff to access a user's computer remotely and to reconfigure DOS initialization files such as CONFIG.SYS.

In view of the foregoing, an object of this invention is to provide improved systems and methods for diagnosing and correcting faults in digital data processing apparatus.

A further object is to provide such systems and methods to reduce users' need for technical support, thereby, to reduce users' frustrations and manufacturers' costs.

A still further object is to provide such systems and methods as can be readily implemented and adapted for use on an array of computer systems.

Still another object to provide such systems and methods as can be easily upgraded, thereby, permitting diagnosis and corrections of problems caused by newly released products, as well as newly identified problems in previously released products.

#### Summary of the Invention

The foregoing and other objects are attained by the invention which provides, in one aspect, a system for remote diagnostic of a digital data processor, e.g., a user's home or office computer. The system includes a local diagnostic element coupled with the digital data processor that determines the status of the computer and that diagnoses a first set of problems. The local diagnostic element can be, for example, a software package resident on the digital data processor that gathers information about the hardware and software components of the digital data processor and that analyzes this information using expert software. When the problem cannot be identified locally, a remote communication element, e.g., a modem, permits the local diagnostic element to transmit the status information to a diagnostic element disposed at a remote site.

The remote diagnostic element, which can be a computer residing at a manufacturer's, publisher's or reseller's technical support center, responds to the received status information for diagnosing a further or broader class of problems, e.g., using its own expert system software.

According to other aspects of the invention, the remote diagnostic element can retransmit status information to a technical support interface in the event that it (the remote diagnostic element) is unable to diagnose or correct the problem. The technical support interface conveys at

-3-

least portions of the status information to a human operator, e.g., a staff member at the technical support center, for diagnosis of still further or broader classes of problems.

The local and remote diagnostic elements can apply instrumentation to the digital data processor in order to gather information necessary to identify problems. Whereas the local  
5 diagnostic element can apply that instrumentation directly to the digital data processor, the remote diagnostic element applies it remotely, e.g., via modem. The instrumentation can be software routines for testing specific aspects of the state and operation of the digital data processor, e.g., the status of CPU registers during selected machine operations.

In still other aspects, the local and remote diagnostic elements are capable of reconfiguring  
10 the digital data processor to correct identified problems. This can include, for example, altering configuration files or upgrading software (such as Window™ drivers). Again, whereas the local diagnostic element can make those corrections directly to the digital data processor, the remote diagnostic element makes them remotely, e.g., via modem. The technical support interface likewise permits the human operator to apply corrective measures, e.g., via modem, and to  
15 directly control the digital data processor, e.g., using remote control software.

In yet another aspect of the invention, the local diagnostic element can be invoked by the user's selection of an "error diagnosis" or "help!" icon on the screen. This aspect of the invention has particular applicability where the digital data processor is equipped with a functioning graphical user interface, such as Windows™.

20 Still other aspects of the invention provide methods of remote diagnosis paralleling the operations described above.

These and other aspects of the invention are evident in the drawings and in the text that follows.

**Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figure 1 illustrates a system for remote diagnostics according to the invention;

Figure 2 illustrates the functional components of a local diagnostic element according to the invention;

5        Figure 3 illustrates decision nodes and action leaves used by an expert system according to the invention;

Figure 4 illustrates how the procedure call bindings to the DLL file are declared in a problem-solution database according to the invention;

10       Figure 5 illustrates the construction and operation of a remote diagnostic element according to the invention;

Figure 6 illustrates the interconnection of the remote diagnostic element to the support engineer's workstation in a system according to the invention;

Figures 7 - 9 chart the operational flow of the local diagnostic element;

Figure 10 - 11 chart the operational flow of the remote diagnostic element.

15       **Detailed Description of Illustrated Embodiment**

Figure 1 illustrates a system 5 for remote diagnostics according to the invention. The system includes digital data processors 10, 12, 14 interconnected for remote communications, e.g., via modems 16, 18, 20 and telecommunications equipment 22, 24, 26. The digital data processors 10, 12, 14 comprise conventional commercially available computing systems  
20       programmed in accord with the teachings herein. Digital data processor 10, for example, can be a personal computer or workstation that resides at a user's home or office. Digital data processor 12 can be a more powerful workstation residing at a manufacturer's, publisher's or reseller's technical support center. Digital data processor 14 can be a technical support person's 31 workstation at the technical support center.

25       In the illustrated embodiment, the digital data processors 10 - 14 can operate under the Window™ or Windows 95™ operating systems, as well as other operating systems. The remote communications equipment, which in the illustrated system utilizes modulated communications over the telephone lines, can comprise any other conventional techniques for remote communications, such as local area networks, wide area networks, or the Internet.

-5-

The system 5 includes a local diagnostic element that is coupled with digital data processor 10 for determining the status of that computer and for diagnosing a first class of problems thereon. The local diagnostic element is, preferably, a software package resident on the digital data processor 10 that executes on that computer to gather information about its hardware and software components and that analyzes that information using an expert system of the type described herein.

The functional components of the local diagnostic element are illustrated in Figure 2, where there is shown an expert system 30, a front end 32, expert system databases 34, 36, 38 and a remote interface 40. The local diagnostic element 28 is invoked at the user's request, e.g., via invocation of a menu option or icon, or automatically, e.g., in response to operating system error handling system messages (such as a "printer communications error").

User interface element 32 serves as an interface between the user and the expert system 30. It displays "icons" that graphically depict components of the digital data processor 10 and that respond to user selection, e.g., via "clicking" of a mouse button, for alerting expert system 30 of a component, or components, to be diagnosed. Alternatively, the user interface element accepts and interprets text input by a user that describes a problem. Such interpretation can be done, e.g., via keyword scanning or via natural language recognition techniques.

Once the problem area has been identified, the expert system 30 applies conventional expert system techniques, using information contained in the databases 34 - 36, to diagnose problems in the selected components of the digital data processor 10. In the illustration, database 34 contains information regarding problems and solutions concerning Windows 95™; database 36 contains information regarding problems and solutions concerning any CD-ROM devices that may be attached to the digital data processor 10; and database 38 contains information regarding problems and solutions concerning any sound card that may be attached to the digital data processor 10. As evident in the discussion below, further databases containing other information regarding other problems and solutions are provided as well. The databases 34 - 38 are formatted and accessed in a manner conventional to expert systems, as further discussed herein.

To facilitate diagnosis of problems, the expert system 30 applies "instrumentation" to the digital data processor to test its responses under specified conditions. These tests are contained within the databases 34 - 36, as well as in the expert system 30 itself. In a preferred embodiment, executing under Windows 95™, the instrumentation modules are implemented as dynamic linking

-6-

libraries, or DLLs. A standard DLL provides a library of calls to allow each problem-specific database 34 - 38 to ascertain information about the digital data processor 10, e.g., to parse and edit files thereon. The databases 34 - 38 contain instrumentation specific to the hardware or software under test. Further instrumentation can be supplied in DLLs or VxDs (virtual device drivers) generated by the user or, preferably, by third parties.

The remote interface 40 serves as the remote communications manager for the local diagnostic element 28. The interface 40 is responsible for hooking up to the remote diagnostic element via conventional communications medium, e.g., modem link, wide area network, and Internet.

#### 10      **Expert System 30**

The expert system 30 operates using a search engine utilizing conventional expert system techniques, such as, decision trees, case-based reasoning, pattern matching, or decision theoretic. In the illustrated embodiment, the expert system 30 is a decision tree system of the type available from NASA and Attar Software, among others. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that different types of expert systems may be used alone or in combination with others, with selection based on the predefined requirements of the problem-solution database.

The problem-solution database contains the decision graph which is executed by the expert system 30. This graph contains complete diagnostics for one subsystem of the digital data processor 10 hardware or software. For instance, if digital data processor 10 contains a system unit (e.g., CPU, memory and disk controller), VGA video board, sound card, CD-ROM, keyboard and mouse, the expert system 30 will maintain six problem-solution databases to represent the digital data processor's status. In addition, the expert system 30 can include a generic knowledge base to facilitate basic fault-finding and correction in the overall digital data processor 10. Three such databases are shown as elements 34 - 38 in Figure 2, above. As users add further hardware and software subsystems, the expert system 30 adds new databases to allow diagnostics to be run on those subsystems. By maintaining separate problem-solution databases for each subsystem, a local diagnostic element according to the invention is easily updated as new problem-solving information becomes available, e.g., by way of updates from the manufacturer, publisher or reseller.



-7-

Each problem-solution database (e.g., 34 - 38) is a combination of two common data structures: a graph data structure and a tree data structure. The expert system 30 traverses the resulting data structure, referred to herein as a "decision graph" data structure to identify problems in the digital data processor and their solutions.

5 As those skilled in the art will appreciate, a decision tree generally consists of a "head" node that is connected to two leaf nodes. Decisions systems typically traverse such trees based on "TRUE" or "FALSE" evaluations at the leaves. The decision graph used herein is modified from the conventional decision tree. Though a head node in the decision graph of the present system can be connected to any number of other nodes, it cannot cause the decision process to flow to a  
10 prior, or parent nodes ("upward flow").

Referring to Figure 3, in local diagnostic element 28, there are two types of nodes: a "decision node" 42 - 46 and an "action leaf" 48. A decision node is a point where a question is posed (e.g., regarding the status of the digital data processor 10) and from where execution flows based on the answer to that question. An action leaf is a point at which a diagnosis of the  
15 problem has been made and an action can be taken to correct the problem. Once the expert system 30 has executed an action leaf, the problem is treated as solved.

The decision nodes 42 - 46 include functionality for invoking programs, i.e., "instrumentation," that carry out tests on the digital data processor 10 and that return information that facilitates further diagnosis. That instrumentation can be contained in Windows 95<sup>TM</sup>  
20 Dynamic Link Libraries that, in turn, call Virtual Device Drivers to interrogate the subsystems. This permits the expert system 30 to obtain more information about the digital data processor 10, e.g., information not otherwise available under the Windows 95 memory management scheme.

The expert system 30 can take advantages of the local computer architecture during interrogation of the hardware and/or software using the instrumentation. For example, although  
25 the preferred mechanism to get I/O port information under Windows 95<sup>TM</sup> is to use the Microsoft/Intel/Compaq Plug N' Play (PnP) specification, this is not effective on systems that do not support PnP. On those systems, the expert system 30 obtains the I/O port information by directly scanning the system, and noting which I/O ports are in use. By allowing the instrumentation to work on the exact mechanics of getting the information, the expert system 30  
30 does not have to address those details and, therefore, can be written more clearly and can operate

-8-

more efficiently. The instrumentation can be adapted to handle different specifications including Desktop Management Interface (DMI), PnP, Registry etc.

In certain circumstances, the information that expert system 30 needs to proceed with diagnosis is only available from the user. In this case, the decision node utilizes the user interface 32 to display a dialog box and prompt the user for a response. This response is then returned to the expert system.

### **Installing and Registering Databases**

Prior to invoking the expert system 30, the problem-solution databases 34 - 38 must first be registered. This can be done by a third party installation program (for example, the problem-solution databases 34 - 38 can be installed as part of the installation of applications software or hardware devices, such as Quicken™, Seventh Guest™, SoundBlaster™, etc.) which copies the problem-solution database onto the hard disk, and passes information about the database to local diagnostic element 28. This includes information identifying the subsystem to which the database pertains, as well as a bitmap file (.BMP) with a graphic identifying that subsystem. This file is, in turn, used by the local diagnostic element 28 as an icon that the user can select to identify a problematic subsystem. In the case of a software product, the bitmap file is the conventional icon for that software.

The installation program registers the database by modifying the local diagnostic element 28 initialization file, e.g., a standard Microsoft Windows™ ASCII initialization file, or by modifying the registry provided with Microsoft Windows 95™. A section of such an initialization file is shown below. For each subsystem, the installation program adds a new section following the "[database]" section. The naming convention is the name of the problem-solution database in brackets. This new section specifies information about the file itself. This includes information about the manufacturer of the product, any VxD/DLLs required, remote, diagnostic element access numbers and the X, Y location of the graphic. Additional information can optionally be attached to this section of the initialization file for use by the problem-solution database,

-9-

[database]

KB=C:\SYSTEMWIZARD\SOUND.PDB,12,C:\SYSTEMWIZARD\SOUND.BMP

...

[SOUND.PDB]

5 DLL=SNDDIAG.DLL

Manufacturer=REVEAL Sound Card

LocateX = 45

LocateY=75

VXD=None

10 remote diagnostic elementTel=508-651-6705

In many cases, a problem-solution database 34 - 38 requires the expert system 30 to do a custom call specific to the hardware/software under test. This custom code may be instrumentation to return information to the expert system or code that may reset configuration of the device under test. The custom code are created and stored in a separate DLL file. As shown in Figure 4, the procedure call bindings to the DLL file are declared in the problem-solution base. The expert system interpreter creates a large symbol table where these binding are stored and looked up as they are called from the problem-solution database.

### Remote Access

In many cases the expert system 30 of the local diagnostic element 28 will not find a solution to a problem. This can occur if the expert system 30 has an invalid value or unknown value at a decision node and the traversal cannot continue, or if an action node explicitly calls for additional help from a remote diagnostic element present on remote digital data processor 12, which has larger and richer databases than those available on the local digital data processor 10. In these cases, the local diagnostic element 28 contacts a the remote diagnostic element, e.g., via modem 16 and communications equipment 22, coupled to the remote digital data processor 12. In addition, the local expert system 30 can request that the remote diagnostic element download new files, e.g. software updates or new problem-solution databases.

By passing information to the remote diagnostic element or by requesting information from it, the remote diagnostic element 50 resolves a second class of problems that the user is

-10-

encountering. This second class of problems can be distinct from the aforementioned first class but, preferably, is simply a larger inclusive class.

Where the local digital data processor runs under MircoSoft Windows 95™, the information passed to the remote diagnostic element 50 includes the files: SYSTEM.INI, WIN.INI, the Registry, AUTOEXEC.BAT and CONFIG.SYS. It also includes user identification information, hardware identification information, I/O address information, and IRQ Information. It also includes information gathered by the local diagnostic element (e.g., directly from the user or via the instrumentation) regarding the symptoms and characteristics of the specific problem to be solved.

10 In the illustrated embodiment, the local diagnostic element 28 uses a modem link to connect to the remote diagnostic element Server. Alternatively, this link can be over the Internet, Infrared (IrDA), ISDN link or other communications links, instead of a phone link. The serial communications is provided by a conventional communications package, e.g., COMM-DRV/VxD available from Willies Software Corp. This package allows full file uploading/downloading and  
15 actual communications protocol handling between the two systems. Encryption and data compression can be included into the data link as appropriate.

Referring to Figure 5, the remote diagnostic element 50 is constructed and operated similarly to local diagnostic element 28. It includes remote communications devices 52, such as modem 18 and telecommunications equipment 24 (Figure 1). In addition, it includes a remote  
20 communications engine 54, an expert system engine 56, problem-solution rule bases 58 and problem-solution databases 60.

The remote communications engine, which serves an analogous role to the user interface 32, serves as an interface between the remote diagnostic element 50 and the local diagnostic element 28 for communicating information therebetween. The expert system 56 can operate  
25 identically to expert system 30, albeit in connection with databases 58 and 60. Databases 58 and 60 correspond to databases 34 - 38, though they contain more problem identification and solving information than those resident on the local digital data processor 10.

In the illustrated embodiment, the remote digital data processor 12 is connected to a bank of modems (e.g., modem 18) which are set on auto-answer mode. These modems are set to  
30 answer the incoming calls from local diagnostic elements 28 in the field. Other embodiments of

-11-

the remote digital data processor 12 can support network Links (LAN, WAN, or Internet) to the local diagnostic elements 28.

Once the calls have been answered, the remote diagnostic element 50 exchanges information regarding the identity of the person calling. Once this item has been completed the  
5 remote diagnostic element 50 asks the local diagnostic element 28 to upload the packet of information regarding the user's problem.

As the remote diagnostic element 50 expert system traverses the decision graph in a similar manner to that described above, it may encounter decision nodes that require it to download information to local diagnostic element 28. Using the same communications link, the  
10 remote diagnostic element 50 downloads a DLL containing information to local diagnostic element 28. The local diagnostic element 28 executes this instrumentation and returns the result back to remote diagnostic element 50. Similarly if remote diagnostic element 50 requires a user to answer a question, it downloads the question to local diagnostic element 28 and awaits the answer.

15 The remote diagnostic element 50 program has several options at the action nodes. It can download new software to local diagnostic element 28 that can solve the user's problem; update the necessary system files and download the file back to local diagnostic element 28 or if it encounters a problem that it does not know, it passes control to the engineer 31.

Once the diagnosis is made by remote diagnostic element 50 it can download to local  
20 digital data processor 10 information necessary to correct the problem. For example, the remote diagnostic element can make changes to SYSTEM.INI and download it to the local digital data processor 10 if the problem is a configuration problem. If the problem requires new software or a software patch, a new file along with an installation program is downloaded. The installation program is started to allow the software to be automatically installed in the system.

25 If remote diagnostic element 50 cannot diagnose the problem, the phone link is passed to a customer support engineer 31 at the call center. Referring to Figure 1, that engineer 31 receives information from the remote diagnostic element 50 via a communication link (e.g., modem 20 and telecommunications equipment 26, or network), either from the remote diagnostic element or from the local diagnostic element. The information is displayed and analyzed via digital data

-12-

processor 14, which the engineer can use to download information to, or control, the digital data processor 10 via modem 20 and telecommunications equipment 26.

The remote digital data processor 12 can be a conventional personal computer running the Windows 95™ operating system. Preferably, however, it is a high performance workstation that  
5 runs a multitasking operating system, such as, Microsoft Windows NT.

### **Engineer Intervention**

Engineer intervention is the final tool in the chain to solve the user's problem. Referring to Figure 6, if the remote diagnostic element 50 cannot solve the problem, it transfers  
responsibility for the support call via central PBX 62 to the technical support engineer 31 to look  
10 at the user's problem. The remote diagnostic element 50 can simultaneously place information regarding local digital data processor 10 on a central server 64. Software running on the support engineer's personal computer 14 retrieves that information from the central server. The modem 20, connected to PC 14, is used to directly connect the engineer's PC to the local digital data processor 10.

15 More particularly, once it has been established that the call is to be handled by support engineer 31, under command control (e.g., via TAPI protocol) remote diagnostic element 50 initiates a sequence of steps to transfer a call. It first places all the information about the subject on a central file server to which all engineer's PCs 14 are connected. The remote diagnostic element 50 then sends a TAPI command to the PBX to transfer the call to an engineer. The PBX  
20 transfers the call to the next available engineer who's modem synchronizes with the remote diagnostic element 50. The remote engineer's PC 14 is now in control. The support engineer's PC 14 retrieves information from the central file server for information regarding the local diagnostic element 28 call.

The engineer 31 can instruct can take direct remote control of the user's PC 10. This  
25 allows the support engineer 31 to manually inspect the user's PC and fix any configuration problems. This control is similar to that provided by conventional remote control programs, e.g., Microcom's Carbon Copy™ or Stac's Reach Out™. However, the software resident on the PC 14 and the local diagnostic element 28 facilitate the uploading of the information already gathered by the local and remote diagnostic elements and the downloading of new software to the local

-13-

diagnostic element 28. Preferably, the software resident on the engineer's PC uses the Intel product App Sharing™ from that company's ProShare Video Conferencing package.

A more complete understanding of the operation of the local diagnostic element 28 may be attained by reference to the flow charts of Figures 7 - 9, while that of remote diagnostic  
5 element 50 may be attained by reference to the flow charts of Figure 10 - 11.

Described above are methods and apparatus for remote diagnostics of a digital data processor meeting the objects of the invention. Those methods and apparatus reduce users' need for technical support, thereby, to reduce users' frustrations and manufacturers' costs. Moreover, they can be readily implemented and adapted for use on an array of computer systems. Still  
10 further they can be easily upgraded, thereby, permitting diagnosis and correction of problems caused by newly released products, as well as newly identified problems in previously released products.

It will be appreciated that the embodiments described above are merely examples of the invention and that other embodiments incorporating modifications therein are contemplated to fall  
15 within the scope of the invention. For example, it will be appreciated that the invention can serve to optimize a digital data processor configuration using the mechanisms described above. By way of further example, the local diagnostic element, remote diagnostic element or engineer can present information to the user to educate him or her as to problem resolution. In view of the foregoing, what I claim is:

-14-

- 1 1. A system for remote diagnostic of a digital data processor, comprising
  - 2 A. local diagnostic means, coupled with the digital data processor, for gathering status  
3 information about that system and for diagnosing a problem in a first class of problems,  
4 the local diagnostic means further including remote communication means responsive to a failure  
5 to diagnose a problem in the first class for selectively transmitting the status information to a  
6 remote diagnostic means disposed remotely from the digital data processor, and
  - 7 B. remote diagnostic means, coupled remotely with the local diagnostic means, for  
8 responding to the status information for diagnosing a problem in a second class of problems.
- 1 2. A system according to claim 1, wherein
  - 2 A. the remote diagnostic means further includes remote communication means responsive to  
3 a failure to diagnose a problem in the second class for selectively transmitting the status  
4 information to a technical support interface means, and
  - 5 B. technical support interface means, coupled to the remote diagnostic means, for conveying  
6 at least selected status information to a human operator for diagnosing a problem in a third class  
7 of problems.
- 1 3. A system according to claim 1, wherein at least one of
  - 2 the local diagnostic means includes means for applying at least selected status information to an  
3 expert system resident on said digital data processor for purposes of diagnosing a problem in the  
4 first class of problems, and
  - 5 the remote diagnostic means includes means for applying at least selected status information to an  
6 expert system remote from said digital data processor for purposes of diagnosing a problem in the  
7 second class of problems.
- 1 4. A system according to claim I, wherein at least one of
  - 2 the local diagnostic means includes means responsive to a failure thereby to diagnose a problem in  
3 the first class of problems for initiating transmission of status information to a remote diagnostic  
4 means, and



-15-

5 the remote diagnostic means includes means responsive to a failure thereby to diagnose a problem  
6 in the second class of problems for initiating transmission of status information to the technical  
7 support interface.

1 5. A system according to claim 1, wherein the local diagnostic means includes means for  
2 applying instrumentation to the digital data processor for gathering further status information  
3 about it.

1 6. A system according to claim 5, wherein the instrumentation includes means for executing  
2 information-gathering instructions on the digital data processor.

1 7. A system according to claim 6, wherein the information-gathering instructions are supplied  
2 by optional instruction modules.

1 8. A system according to claim 5, wherein the instrumentation is adapted to gather such  
2 further status information in accord with, a protocol of the digital data processor, such protocol  
3 including any of Desktop Management Interface (DMI) and Plug and Play (PNP)

1 9. A system according to claim 5, wherein the remote diagnostic means includes means for  
2 transmitting to the local diagnostic means further instrumentation for gathering further status  
3 information about the digital data processor.

1 10. A system according to claim 1, wherein the remote diagnostic means includes means for  
2 selectively reconfiguring the digital data processor to resolve a problem in the first class of  
3 problems.

1 11. A system according to claim 1, wherein the remote diagnostic means includes means for  
2 selectively generating reconfiguration data for reconfiguring any of hardware and software  
3 components of the digital data processor to resolve a problem in the second class of problems,  
4 and for transmitting that reconfiguration data to the local diagnostic means, and the local  
5 diagnostic means includes means for applying that reconfiguration data to the digital data  
6 processor.

1 12. A system according to claim 2, wherein the technical support interface means includes  
2 means responsive to operator input for transmitting to the local diagnostic means reconfiguration  
3 data for resolving a problem in the third class of problems, the local diagnostic means includes  
4 means for applying that reconfiguration data to the digital data processor.

-16-

1 13. A system according to claim 12, wherein the technical support means includes means for  
2 remote coupling to the digital data processor for enabling the human operator to obtain status  
3 information therefrom and to control operation thereof.

1 14. A system according to claim 1, comprising user interface means for displaying an icon  
2 responsive to user activation for invoking operation of the local diagnostic means.

1 15. A method for remote diagnostic of a digital data processor, comprising  
2 A. a local diagnostic step for gathering status information about the digital data processor  
3 and for diagnosing a problem in a first class of problems based on that information, the local  
4 diagnostic step including the step of selectively transmitting the status information to a remote site  
5 for diagnosis of a problem, and

6 B. a remote diagnostic step for responding to status information transmitted remotely from  
7 the digital data processor for diagnosing a problem in a second class of problems.

1 16. A method according to claim 15, wherein

2 A. the remote diagnostic step includes the step of selectively transmitting the status  
3 information for technical support by a human operator, and the method includes

4 B. a technical support interface step for displaying at least selected status information to a  
5 human operator for diagnosis of a problem in a third class of problems.

1 17. A method according to claim 15, wherein the local diagnostic step includes applying the  
2 status information to a first expert system local to the digital data processor for diagnosing  
3 problems in the first class of problems, and the remote diagnostic step includes applying the status  
4 information to a second expert system remote from the digital data processor for diagnosing  
5 problems in the second class of problems.

1 18. A method according, to claim 15, wherein the local diagnostic step includes gathering  
2 further status information regarding the digital data processor by applying instrumentation to the  
3 digital data processor.

1 19. A method according to claim 18, wherein the further status information is gathered during  
2 the local diagnostic step by executing information-gathering instructions on a central processing  
3 unit of the digital data processor.

- 1 20. A method according to claim 19, including the step of supplying the information-gathering  
2 instructions from optional instruction modules.
- 1 21. A method according to claim 19, including adapting the information-gathering instructions  
2 to gather the further status information in accord with a protocol of the digital data processor,  
3 such protocol including any of Desktop Management Interface (DNH) and Plug and Play (PNP).
- 1 22. A method according to claim 19, wherein the further status information is gathered during  
2 the remote diagnostic step by transmitting information-gathering instructions to the digital data  
3 processor for execution by the central processing unit thereof.
- 1 23. A method according to claim 15, wherein the local diagnostic step includes the step of  
2 selectively reconfiguring the digital data processor to resolve a problem in the first  
3 class of problems.
- 1 24. A method according to claim 15, wherein the remote diagnostic step includes for  
2 selectively generating reconfiguration data for reconfiguring any of hardware and software  
3 components of the digital data processor to resolve a problem in the second class of problems,  
4 and for transmitting that reconfiguration data for application to the digital data processor.
- 1 25. A method according to claim 16, including the step of responding to operator input for  
2 transmitting to the digital data processor reconfiguration data for reconfiguring any of hardware  
3 and software components of the digital data processor to resolve a problem in the third class of  
4 problems, and for transmitting that reconfiguration data for application to the digital data  
5 processor.
- 1 26. A method according to claim 25, wherein the technical support step includes step for  
2 enabling the human operator to control operation of the digital data processor.
- 1 27. A method according to claim 15, comprising displaying an icon responsive to user  
2 activation for invoking operation of the local diagnostic means.

1/11




Figure 1

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

2/11




Figure 2

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

3/11




Figure 3

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

4/11




Figure 4

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

5/11




Figure 5

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**



6/11




Figure 6

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

7/11





Figure 7

8/11

Figure 8

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

9/11





Figure 9

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**


10/11

Figure 10.

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

11/11

Figure 11

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int: xnal Application No

PCT/US 96/16618

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 G06F11/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 599 606 (XEROX CO.) 1 June 1994	1-7, 9-13, 15-20, 22-26 14,27
Y	see abstract; figures 3-6 see column 2, line 27 - column 3, line 29 see column 7, line 29 - column 8, line 29; claims 1-6 ---	
Y	US,A,5 123 017 (SIMPKINS ET AL.) 16 June 1992 see column 10, line 35 - line 55 see column 3, line 1 - line 31 -----	14,27

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 January 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

24. 01. 97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Sarasua Garcia, L

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 96/16618

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-599606	01-06-94	JP-A- 6214820	05-08-94
US-A-5123017	16-06-92	NONE	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)